

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/388,935		09/02/1999	TADAMITSU MIYAWAKI	104144 4667		
25944	7590	03/31/2004		EXAMINER		
		IDGE, PLC	HAYES, JOHN W			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3621		
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/388,935	MIYAWAKI ET AL.	
, Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
A SW	John W Hayes	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh et with the c	rrespondenc add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FOR THE PLACE TO PLACE TO A REPLY FOR THE PLACE TO	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate xtension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	ı		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 5, 7 and 11-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0. Other:		John W Hayes	rs
	//	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621	
		AIL OTHE JUZ I	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to the claims with regard to "content related icon" and "clicking on the icon" raise new issues that were not previously considered and therefore would require further consideration and/or search.